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ABSTRACT: Zeolitic imidazolate framework (ZIF) materials have received considerable attention recently due to their potential as materials for gas separation applications. In this work, we study, both experimentally and with molecular modeling, methane adsorption in a series of five ZIFs (ZIF-25, -71, -93, -96, and -97) that share a common structural topology (RHO), but differ in imidazolate functionalization. Such a series allows for the direct assessment of the role that functionalization plays in determining methane adsorption. Experimental measurements of methane adsorption up to 1 bar at various temperatures are well reproduced by molecular simulations, which are further used to examine adsorption up to higher pressures of 80 bar, and to analyze the preferred binding sites within the structure. We find that CH4 uptake in these ZIFs is roughly proportional to the Brunauer−Emmett−Teller (BET) surface area, in contrast to our earlier work on the adsorption of CO2 for this series [J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 11006], which showed a significant enhancement of CO2 adsorption, due to electrostatic effects, in asymmetrically functionalized ZIFs (ZIF-93, -96, -97) over those with symmetric functionalization (ZIF-25, -71). Furthermore, the ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) is used to predict selectivity of CO2 over CH4 in these RHO ZIFs by fitting CH4 adsorption measurements in this work and the CO2 experimental isotherms from our earlier work [J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 11006].

INTRODUCTION

Methane (CH4) is the major component of natural gas, which is considered a cleaner energy source relative to other fossil fuels because of its higher hydrogen-to-carbon ratio and lower carbon emission. However, the energy content of natural gas is reduced by the presence of carbon dioxide (CO2) as one of the main impurities.1 The common industrial methods used for CO2 separations from gas mixtures rely on solvent absorption, cryogenic separations, membrane separations, or adsorption by solid sorbents.5 Separation by solid−sorbent adsorption, as compared to other methods, offers potential advantages such as reduced environmental impact and mild pressure and temperature operating conditions.1,3,4

Recently, metal−organic frameworks (MOFs) have emerged as a new class of materials that have great potential for use in gas capture and separation applications.5−10 MOFs are highly crystalline, nanoporous materials with a building-block formed by metal atoms linked by coordinating organic bridging ligands. They have attracted much attention because of their high porosity, very high surface area, and potential for tailoring pore sizes and chemical environment to particular properties.7,11,12 Zeolitic imidazole frameworks (ZIFs) are a subclass of MOFs that have received significant attention very recently because of their high porosity, their thermal and chemical stability, and their enormous variability with respect to topology and functionality.13−16 ZIFs, which are composed of tetrahedrally coordinated metal centers such as Zn and Co linked by functionalized imidazole groups, are being actively investigated for membrane-based gas separations relevant to natural gas applications, either as pure membrane materials or as a component in polymer-composite membranes.17−26
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In this work, we examine, using both experiment and molecular modeling, methane adsorption and selectivity (relative to CO\textsubscript{2}) in a previously reported\textsuperscript{27} series of ZIFs: ZIF-25, -71, -93, -96, and -97. This ZIF series is isoreticular; that is, the materials in the series share the same topology, in this case RHO, but differ by linker functionalization, as illustrated in Figure 1. This series is, to our knowledge, the largest isoreticular series of ZIF materials to be studied with uniform experimental and computational techniques to date, which allows us to study directly the effect of functionalization on adsorption. Many previous investigations of CH\textsubscript{4} adsorption and selectivity in ZIFs\textsuperscript{16,28–37} involve either studies of single ZIFs or comparisons between nonisoreticular ZIF materials, making it difficult to assess the role of functionalization and topology independently. There have been a few similar studies of methane adsorption and selectivity in other isoreticular ZIF sets, but these were focused either on GME\textsuperscript{27,38–41} or SOD\textsuperscript{41–43} topologies.

For the RHO ZIFs considered in the present work, low-pressure methane uptake was measured at 298 K, 283 K, and 273 K. The measurements are complemented by molecular simulations, which are used to investigate adsorption isotherms up to 80 bar, and to investigate dominant binding sites. Isosteric heats of adsorption are derived as functions of loading from both experiment and simulation. Finally, the results of the present study are combined with previous data for CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption in the same ZIF materials\textsuperscript{37} to determine adsorption selectivity from ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) calculations at low pressures.

## METHODOLOGY

### Experimental Methods.

The isoreticular series of ZIFs was synthesized and activated from reported preparations.\textsuperscript{27} Low pressure gas adsorption isotherms were measured volumetrically on an Autosorb-1 analyzer (Quantachrome Instruments). A constant temperature water bath at (273, 283, 298 K) was used for CH\textsubscript{4} measurements. The CH\textsubscript{4} gas used was ultrahigh purity (UHP) grade. Estimated error of these measurements is ±2%.

### Classical Force Fields for Simulations.

The intermolecular interactions of all molecules studied in this work are represented by pairwise-additive Lennard–Jones (LJ) 12-6 potentials

\[
U(r) = 4\epsilon \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{6}
\]

where \(r\), \(\epsilon\), and \(\sigma\) are the separation, LJ well depth, and LJ size, respectively, for interacting atoms \(i\) and \(j\). The cross-interaction parameters \(\epsilon_{ij}\) and \(\sigma_{ij}\) were calculated using Lorentz–Berthelot mixing rules.\textsuperscript{44} Molecular simulation studies have shown that LJ parameters of generic force fields such as UFF and OPLS-AA can accurately predict adsorption in ZIFs\textsuperscript{27,45–47} Although these force fields perform well in some ZIFs, their transferability and ability to describe the short-range vDW interactions in ZIFs is limited.\textsuperscript{27,31} A number of efforts have been made to develop transferable force fields from ab initio calculations for H\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{46} and CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption in ZIFs;\textsuperscript{49–51} however, such ab-initio-based transferable force fields for CH\textsubscript{4} are not, to our knowledge, available in the literature.

In this work, we model the methane molecule using the Transferable Potentials for Phase Equilibria United Atom (TraPPE-UA) force field,\textsuperscript{52} in which CH\textsubscript{4} is modeled as a single, uncharged LJ sphere located on the carbon atom. For the ZIF adsors, we use LJ parameters taken from the Optimized Potentials for Liquid Simulations All Atom (OPLS-AA) force field.\textsuperscript{53,54} As there are no OPLS-AA parameters for Zn, these are taken from the Universal Force Field (UFF).\textsuperscript{55} All the Lennard–Jones parameters are listed in Table 2.

### Molecular Simulation.

The initial structures of the ZIFs were constructed from the atomic coordinates given in our previous work.\textsuperscript{27} The 2 × 2 × 1 unit cells of the ZIFs used in the simulations are shown in Figure 1a. The periodic building block of the RHO topology ZIFs consists of an α-cavity (also sometimes referred to as an LTA cavity) that is composed of 12 four-membered rings, 8 six-membered rings, and 6 eight-membered rings. The α-cavities are connected through double eight-membered rings in a body-centered cubic arrangement as shown in Figure 1. To determine the isotherms and adsorption thermodynamics, we employ grand canonical Monte Carlo simulations implemented using the Monte Carlo for Complex Chemical Systems (MCCCS) Towhee program.\textsuperscript{56} The non-bonded Lennard–Jones interactions were truncated at 13 Å, and standard long-range corrections were employed.\textsuperscript{57} The ZIFs used in the simulations were modeled as rigid and gas-phase fugacities were calculated using a Peng–Robinson equation of state (EOS) with parameters taken from the NIST Chemistry WebBook with the standard chemical potential calculated within the model for each temperature studied by performing NPT simulation at 1.0 bar. We have verified by direct NPT simulation at a few sample pressures that the errors introduced by the use of this EOS are not significant (the maximum deviation is less than 1%).

To complement the MC simulations, we also undertook calculations of the binding energies of a single CH\textsubscript{4} molecule as a function of its center of mass position within the ZIFs. Specifically, the binding energies of CH\textsubscript{4} were calculated for a single molecule in a single ZIF unit cell using the program Large-scale Atomic/Molecular Massively Parallel Simulator (LAMMPS).

---

**Figure 1.** (a) Polyhedral packing of the RHO structure (blue and red polyhedral represent the subdivisions of space). (b) Structures of the RHO topology ZIFs in ZIF-93. The free space within the α-cavities is represented by a yellow sphere. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. (c) Representations of the Imidazolate-type linkers in the ZIFs. Atom colors in (a,b): zinc, silver; carbon, cyan; nitrogen, blue; oxygen, red; hydrogen, pink; chlorine, ochre.
(LAMMPS).60 Appropriate energies for the ZIF and molecule alone were subtracted to obtain binding energies. The binding energies were computed for a rectangular grid with steps $L/2$ 256, where $L$ is the length of a side of the cubic unit cell. The symmetries of the ZIF crystal structure were used to reduce the number of actual computations. For each binding position, the molecule was placed at the grid point and the energy computed without any relaxation of the atomic positions.

**RESULTS**

*Adsorption of CH$_4$ at Low Pressure: Experiment and Molecular Modeling.* The experimental CH$_4$ adsorption isotherms for the five ZIFs studied are shown in Figures 2 and 3.
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Figure 2. Comparison of experimental (closed circles) and calculated (open circles) CH$_4$ isotherms in ZIF-25 and -71 at 273, 283, and 298 K.
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Figure 3. Comparison of experimental (closed circles) and calculated (open circles) CH$_4$ isotherms in ZIF-93, -96, and -97 at 273, 283, and 298 K.

For pressures up to 1 bar and three different temperatures (277, 283, and 298 K). Also shown are the corresponding isotherms from the GCMC simulation, which agree with experimentally measured values to within 13%, validating our chosen OPLS parameters for these systems. In our earlier work on CO$_2$ adsorption in this set of ZIFS,27 we used the Universal Force Field (UFF) to describe the LJ parameters of the ZIF, which gave results showing comparable level of agreement with experimental measurements, with the exception of ZIF-96, for which the CO$_2$ adsorption was significantly underestimated. For CH$_4$, the predicted adsorption isotherms using the UFF force field exhibited deviations from the experimental measurements, with the computed adsorptions being over predicted by as much as 145%. Similar overestimation of CH$_4$ adsorption using an unmodified UFF force field has been observed in both ZIF-8 and -94.31

For a particular pressure and temperature, the adsorption of CH$_4$ varies by about a factor of 2 within this series. For example, at 1.00 bar and 298 K, we obtain CH$_4$ adsorptions of 0.556, 0.274, 0.421, 0.393, and 0.299 mmol$^{-1}$ for ZIF-25, -71, -93, -96, and -97, respectively. Because the ZIFs in this series have the same framework structure and adsorption in porous materials at low pressure depends primarily to adsorbent/guest interactions strength,61 the differences in CH$_4$ sorption observed at low pressure is due to the variance of the functional groups in the ZIFs. Because the CH$_4$ model adopted in this work is uncharged, differences in the CH$_4$ in the ZIFs depend on differences in the van der Waals (vdW) interaction strength of the individual linker functionalities. Similar results were obtained for CH$_4$ sorption in an isoreticular ZIF series with sodalite (SOD) topology.45

In our previous work on CO$_2$ adsorption in this ZIF series,27 the specific effect of the functional group was best seen by examining the adsorption relative to the BET surface area. (See Table 1 for the BET surface area values for this series.) For CO$_2$ adsorption, RHO ZIFs with asymmetrically functionalized imidazolate linkers (-93, -96, and -97) had an adsorption per unit area that was approximately twice that of symmetrically functionalized ZIFS (-25 and -71) as shown in Figure 4. Also in Figure 4 we show the CH$_4$ adsorption per unit area from the current experimental data. Not only are these values smaller by a factor of 2–5 than that for CO$_2$ adsorption, they are by comparison with CO$_2$ roughly independent of functional group; therefore, CH$_4$ adsorption in this series is approximately proportional to BET surface area, in contrast to CO$_2$ adsorption. This is consistent with the hypothesis in ref 27 that the enhanced adsorption in asymmetric ZIFs was largely due to electrostatic considerations. This has important implications for the CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity in this series as discussed below.

**Adsorption of CH$_4$ at High Pressure: Molecular Modeling.** To examine the adsorption uptake capacity of CH$_4$ in ZIFs, adsorption isotherms were calculated up to 80.0 bar by GCMC simulations. These high-pressure adsorption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZIF</th>
<th>BET surface area (m$^2$ g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>density (g cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>free volume (cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.511 (43.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>1.184</td>
<td>0.384 (45.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.391 (38.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.449 (43.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.351 (35.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The details associated with the calculation of the BET surface area can be found in ref 27. Densities are based on the crystal structure. The free volumes were calculated using the PLATON program 93 with a probe radius based on the methane diameter (3.73 Å).*
isotherms are shown in Figure 5 at three temperatures: 273, 283, and 298 K. At all three temperatures and at all pressures studied, the CH₄ adsorption in this ZIF series shows a nearly factor-of-two variation with respect to functional group. In order of increasing adsorption, we have ZIF-71 < -97 < -93 < -96 < -25, independent of pressure. At a temperature of 298 K and the highest pressure studied (80.0 bar), the CH₄ adsorptions are 4.23, 4.45, 5.23, 5.74, and 7.74 mmol g⁻¹ for ZIF-71, -97, -93, -96, and -25, respectively.

The amounts of CH₄ adsorbed in the ZIFs at high pressure correlate fairly well with the ZIFs' free volume displayed in Table 1, with the exception of ZIF-97, which has a slightly higher adsorption of CH₄ than ZIF-71 despite a slightly lower surface area (see Table 1). These results suggest that the dominant influence on adsorption of CH₄ in the ZIFs is BET surface area or free volume, consistent with results obtained by the Snurr group and Wang et al., but that there are smaller linker specific considerations that can be important when comparing two isoreticular ZIFs of similar surface area.

Methane Isosteric Heats of Adsorption: Experiment and Molecular Modeling. To study CH₄–sorbent energetic interactions, we have calculated from GCMC simulations the isosteric heat of adsorption $Q_{st}$ directly from the fluctuation of the total energy of the simulated system using eq 2:

$$Q_{st} = \frac{R T}{N} \frac{\langle \Phi \rangle - \langle \Phi \rangle \langle N \rangle}{\langle N \rangle^2}$$

where $\Phi$, $N$, $T$, and $R$ are the potential energy of the adsorbed phase, the number of molecules adsorbed, temperature, and gas constant, respectively, and the angle brackets $\langle ... \rangle$ denote averaging. $Q_{st}$ can also be estimated by a virial-type expansion that requires adsorption data at least at two, but preferably more, temperatures.

$$\ln P = \ln N + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i N^i + \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i N^i$$

where $P$ is the pressure, $N$ is the adsorbed amount in mmol g⁻¹, $T$ is the temperature, $a_i$ and $b_i$ are virial coefficients, and $m$ and $n$ are the number of required coefficients to adequately fit the isotherms. Equation 2 is convenient in simulation studies.
advisability of using the virial-type expansion of the GCMC simulated isotherms at low pressure, which show agreement to within 3% of experimental measurements, validating once again our chosen OPLS parameters for these systems.

The isosteric heats of adsorption at 298 K, derived from simulations using eq 2, are plotted as a function of CH4 loading with open symbols in Figure 7. For comparison, the heats of adsorption at 298 K estimated using the virial-type expansion are also shown, with solid lines, to illustrate the consistency of the two calculations. We have also calculated Qsa values at 273 and 298 K, but within the error bars of the calculation the isosteric heat of adsorption is found to be independent of the temperature over this range. The combined simulated isotherm data of the ZIFs at 273, 283, and 298 K was used for the virial-type expansion fitting to eq 3 with \( m = 4, n = 3 \). The fitted curves are represented by solid lines in Figure 5.

The sequence of Qsa values in the ZIFs at low CH4 loading, averaged in the range 0–0.5 bar, is 19.9(2), 18.8(3), 18.2(2), 17.3(1), and 17.2(4) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for ZIF-93, -25, -71, -97, and -96, respectively, where the numbers in parentheses are the estimated 95% confidence level errors in the last digits shown. The Qsa values obtained at low loading for methane in the ZIFs considered in this work are comparable to those reported for other MOF structures (18.7, 18.2, and 16.1 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for Cu-BTC, HKUST-1, and CPL-2, respectively)\(^{74,75}\) and ZIFs (15.52–20.5 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for ZIF-68, -69, -70, -80, -81, -82)\(^{76,77}\). For the RHO ZIFs considered in this work, the values of Qsa first decrease at low coverage, but then increase at higher CH4 uptake. In previous studies, Sircar and Cao\(^{78}\) reported that the decrease of the Qsa in ZIFs at low loading is due to energetic heterogeneity in the ZIF adsorption sites; that is, the guest gas molecules are first adsorbed in the strongest binding sites at low loading, as will be discussed further for our system in the following section. The decrease in Qsa at low coverage in the ZIFs has also been observed in other work for CO2 and H2\(^{40,45,48}\).

Figure 6. Estimated isosteric heat of adsorption as a function of CH4 uptake from the virial-type expansion of the low-pressure experimental (filled squares) and simulation (open circles) adsorption data in ZIF-25 (black), -71 (red), -93 (green), -96 (blue), and -97 (brown) at 298 K.

At high loading (40.0–80.0 bar), the average values for the calculated heats of adsorption are 15.0(2), 13.4(3), 13.2(1), 12.0(2) and 11.8(2) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for ZIF-25, -93, -96, -97 and -71, respectively, in order of decreasing Qsa. These values are comparable to those found in other MOFs (about 12.0 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) in IRMOF-1)\(^{80}\) and ZIFs (12.0–15.2 kJ mol\(^{-1}\))\(^{64}\) at high loading. Furthermore, the steady increase of Qsa in the high uptake regime is consistent with the observation that the saturation capacity is not reached at 80.0 bar.

**CH4 Adsorption Sites.** To examine the main adsorption sites for methane in the RHO ZIFs considered in this work, we have computed the binding energy as a function of the center of mass (COM) position of a CH4 molecule, as described in the Methodology section. In Figure 8a, the results are presented by contour plots in a slice through the structure corresponding to a (110) plane through the middle of the \( \alpha \)-cavity indicated by the yellow sphere in Figure 1. Similar to the analysis for CO2 adsorption in ref 81, we identify three sets of binding sites: one between the ZIF linkers in the six-membered ring window of the \( \alpha \)-cavity, another in the connecting double 8-rings, and a final set on the inner surface of the \( \alpha \)-cavities near to the four-membered ring. The maximum binding energies in each of these sites are listed in Table 3. We find that the binding site confined to the six-membered ring window is the strongest in each of the ZIFs considered in this work, indicating that this site should dominate adsorption in the dilute limit.

We have further computed the COM of CH4 probability distribution directly from the GCMC simulations. The two-dimensional distributions at 298 K are plotted in Figure 9 for low (0.514 bar) and moderate (40.0 bar) pressures. These data are consistent with the three adsorption sites described above,
as made clear in Figure 8b. Here, a slice along the (110) plane of the CH4 density in ZIF-25 at low and high pressure shows that the largest methane density is found near areas shown to have strong binding in Figure 8a. At low pressure, CH4 molecules are primarily adsorbed between the ZIFs linkers in the six-membered ring window of the α-cavity, consistent with the binding energy results. A comparatively small methane population is also present in the connecting double 8-rings. With increasing pressure, methane continues populating these two sites and distributes throughout the connecting double 8-rings. In addition, the inner surfaces of the α-pore near to the four-membered ring, as discussed in the text.

**Figure 8.** (a) Methane binding energy as a function of position in the (110) plane in kJ mol\(^{-1}\). (b) Methane density maps in the (110) plane of ZIF-25 for 0.514 and 40.0 bar in number of molecules per Å\(^3\). Inset: ZIF-71 viewed along the [001] direction. The projection of the (110) plane in which the binding-energy and density maps are plotted is shown by the red line. This plane cuts through the center of the main pore in the RHO structure.

**Table 3. Maximum CH4 Binding Energies by Binding Site**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZIF</th>
<th>site A (kJ mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>site B (kJ mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>site C (kJ mol(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>24.65</td>
<td>16.15</td>
<td>18.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>19.94</td>
<td>14.36</td>
<td>11.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>21.39</td>
<td>18.74</td>
<td>11.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>14.92</td>
<td>6.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>21.27</td>
<td>18.31</td>
<td>10.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Site A refers to the six-membered ring window of the α-cavity, site B refers to the connecting double 8-rings, and site C refers to the inner surface of the α-pore near to the four-membered ring, as discussed in the text.

**Figure 9.** Probability density averaged over unit cells in the XY plane as a function of the center of mass (COM) of CH4 in each ZIF at 298 K for different pressures: left, 0.514 bar; right, 40.0 bar. The density is in number of molecules per Å\(^3\). The scale for 0.514 bar is a factor of 8 smaller than that for 40.0 bar. Atom colors: zinc, silver; carbon, cyan; nitrogen, blue; oxygen, red; chlorine, ochre. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

**Equilibrium CO2/CH4 Selectivity.** We conclude this section by considering the equilibrium selectivity of CO2 versus CH4 (defined in the Supporting Information) in the RHO ZIFs considered in this work. To calculate the equilibrium selectivity for an equimolar mixture of CO2/CH4 from the pure gas experimental adsorption data we employ IAST, which has been shown to give good prediction of the adsorption selectivity in MOFs\(^{82−84}\) and ZIFs\(^{34,35,38}\). In these calculations, we use the CH4 experimental data from the current study as well as the pure CO2 data from our previous work on CO2 in this series.\(^{27}\) The IAST selectivity and the fitting parameters for CO2 and CH4 adsorptions in the ZIFs can be found in the Supporting Information.

From our IAST analysis, the CO2/CH4 equilibrium selectivities at 1 bar and 298 K are calculated to be 2.53, 2.67, 8.19, 10.20, and 6.14 for ZIF-25, -71, -93, -96, and -97, respectively. The ZIFs with the highest low-pressure selectivities of this group (-93, -96, and -97) are those with asymmetrically functionalized imidazolate linkers and are among the higher values reported for other MOFs and ZIFs.\(^{5,34,35,42,43,85}\) This is as expected from our observation by a factor of 18, and the density on the inner surface of the pore by a factor of 52.

With increasing pressure, there is a shift in the fraction of the adsorbed methane at the different sites: at low pressure the majority of the adsorbed methane resides in the small-volume/high-binding-energy sites, while at high pressures more methane is found in the more weakly bound sites that are open to empty space within the pores.
in the current work that the adsorption of CH₄ in this ZIF RHO series is roughly proportional to BET surface area, independent of functional group, combined with our earlier observation that noncanceling electrostatic interactions in the asymmetrically functionalized RHO ZIFs (-93, -96, and -97) lead to an enhanced adsorption per unit BET surface area over the symmetrically functionalized ZIFs (-25 and -71).

In a similar study on an isoreticular series, Amrouche et al. examined a similar series of ZIFs with a SOD topology. In this series, the imidazolate was singly functionalized at the 2 position (as opposed to the 4 and 5 positions functionalized in the current RHO series) and a strong correlation was observed between the selectivity and the dipole moment of the functional group - consistent with our observations in the RHO series that optimizing electrostatic interactions is crucial for designing ZIFs that have high CO₂/CH₄ selectivity. Given that the SOD series ZIFs were singly functionalized, the symmetry of functionalization was not an issue. The current work adds the symmetry of functionalization to the list of known parameters that affect CO₂/CH₄ equilibrium selectivity, such as the dipole moment or polarizability of the adsorbed gases or the framework decoration. This is in determining CO₂ adsorption in the asymmetric ZIFs, these ZIFs show considerably better selectivity of CO₂ over CH₄ than is observed in the symmetric ZIFs. The observation that electrostatic interactions play a dominant role in determining CO₂/CH₄ selectivity in ZIFs is consistent with the results from earlier works.

To analyze gas uptake at the molecular level, we have modeled the adsorption using grand canonical Monte Carlo simulation at both low and high pressures. At low pressures, the simulated adsorptions are in excellent agreement with the experiments, validating our model for the interatomic interactions. Our high-pressure simulations show no change in the relative ordering of the ZIFs in the series with respect to adsorption and also show that the saturation pressure for CH₄ for all ZIFs studied is not yet reached at the maximum pressure considered, 80 bar. Isosteric heats of adsorption, Qₑ, were also calculated from both the experimental and simulated adsorption data. For all ZIFs, Qₑ shows an initial decrease at low loadings and an increased at high loadings, as CH₄-CH₄ interactions become more important. At higher pressures, the simulations show that Qₑ goes through a minimum and begins to increase at higher loadings. These results were further investigated through an analysis of the binding energies and density profiles within the ZIF structures. We found from the analysis of the binding energy that the higher binding energy sites for CH₄ in the ZIFs are located between the ZIF linkers in the six-membered ring and the lower binding energy sites are in the connecting double 8-rings and also in the inner surface of the pore close to the four-membered ring. The density profile analysis shows that at low pressures methane molecules adsorb preferentially in the higher binding energy sites, while at high pressures a higher fraction of the methane molecules are found at lower binding energy sites that feature larger available volumes.

**SUMMARY**

We have examined, both experimentally and computationally, the methane adsorption of five zeolitic imidazolate framework (ZIF) materials: ZIF-25, -71, -93, -96, and -97. These ZIFs have identical RHO topology but different functionalization of the imidazole linker. Previously, we have examined this same ZIF series for CO₂ adsorption. At low pressure (up to 1 bar), we find that that CH₄ adsorption in each ZIF is smaller (by factors ranging from 2.4 to 7.5) than the corresponding CO₂ adsorption at each pressure. In particular, we note that the CH₄ adsorption in these ZIFs is roughly proportional to the BET surface area, independent of functionalization. This is in contrast to our previous results for CO₂ adsorption where there was a factor of 2 enhancement in the uptake per surface area for asymmetrically functionalized ZIFs (ZIF-93, -96, -97) over symmetrically functionalized ZIFs (ZIF-25, -71). In that work, we hypothesized that the enhancement was due to electrostatic effects. The absence of such enhancement in the CH₄ adsorption data, for which electrostatics play a minimal role, is consistent with this hypothesis. Because of the enhancement of CO₂ adsorption in the asymmetric ZIFs, these ZIFs show considerably better selectivity of CO₂ over CH₄ than is observed in the symmetric ZIFs. The observation that electrostatic interactions play a dominant role in determining CO₂/CH₄ selectivity in ZIFs is consistent with the results from earlier works.

To analyze gas uptake at the molecular level, we have modeled the adsorption using grand canonical Monte Carlo simulation at both low and high pressures. At low pressures, the simulated adsorptions are in excellent agreement with the experiments, validating our model for the interatomic interactions. Our high-pressure simulations show no change in the relative ordering of the ZIFs in the series with respect to adsorption and also show that the saturation pressure for CH₄ for all ZIFs studied is not yet reached at the maximum pressure considered, 80 bar. Isosteric heats of adsorption, Qₑ, were also calculated from both the experimental and simulated adsorption data. For all ZIFs, Qₑ shows an initial decrease at low loadings and an increased at high loadings, as CH₄-CH₄ interactions become more important. At higher pressures, the simulations show that Qₑ goes through a minimum and begins to increase at higher loadings. These results were further investigated through an analysis of the binding energies and density profiles within the ZIF structures. We found from the analysis of the binding energy that the higher binding energy sites for CH₄ in the ZIFs are located between the ZIF linkers in the six-membered ring and the lower binding energy sites are in the connecting double 8-rings and also in the inner surface of the pore close to the four-membered ring. The density profile analysis shows that at low pressures methane molecules adsorb preferentially in the higher binding energy sites, while at high pressures a higher fraction of the methane molecules are found at lower binding energy sites that feature larger available volumes.
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